

1

(V1):

follows [5]:

http://itb.biologie.hu-berlin.de/~berkes

Institute for Theoretical Biology, Humboldt University Berlin p.berkes@biologie.hu-berlin.de, http://itb.biologie.hu-berlin.de/~berkes



The slowness principle 4 Results - Physiologicallike experiments SFA learns a set of units that consist in second degree polynomials that applied to our input visual stimuli have the most slowly varying output. The units are ordered by slowness (the first unit being the march My My mon slowest) and their outputs are mutually uncorrelated. The functions gj can be in-4 6 8 10 12 1.4 16 terpreted as non-linear spatio-temporal monkey signal receptive fields of neurons in V1 and tested with input stimuli such as linear sinus gratings much like in neurophys-10 iological experiments. In this work, we investigate slowness as a coding principle for the primary visual cortex • The input signals to the cortex originate from the sensory cells by raw, local meaents of the environment. · Such measurements are extremely sensitive to small changes in the state of both environment and the observer, and vary thus on a timescale faster than that 5 **Results - Optimal stimuli** of the environment itself. We can also analytically compute for each unit s+821 82 \$ 5 11 6 6 s\* · The slowness principle assumes that the cortex extracts slow signals out of its the optimal excitatory stimulus S+ and the fast varying input in order to reconstruct information about the environment. s 🖉 🖉 🖉 🖉 🖉 🖉 🖉 🖉 s optimal inhibitory stimulus S<sup>-</sup>, i.e. the input that elicits the strongest and the weakest output · Slow features are likely to reflect the properties of the environment and are in addis\* 🗋 🕅 🛄 🛄 9.92 8 8 11 11 20 S S from the unit, respectively. This is in analogy to the physiological practice of characterizing a tion invariant or at least robust to frequent transformations of the sensory input. s- la se de de se 211 = = s neuron by the stimulus to which the neuron re--- 33 88 11.11 sponds best. 2 2 60 EE s' 15 2 Slow Feature Analysis 33 II II s 22 **S\* S S** 20 88 68 5 66 EE s s-111 112 113 113 113 113 113 **3 3 3 4 3** s\*////// 88 ... 3 3 s a s 29 s s .3.3 SS s s-111 100 20 00 00 00 00 s The problem of extracting slow features from time sequences can be formally stated as • Given an input signal **x**(t), find an input-output function **g**(**x**) (in the simulations 6 **Results - Response images** presented here a polynomial of degree 2). • The function generates the **output signal y**(t) = g(x(t)) We use test images in order to study the activity of the units to a large spectrum of stimuli (e.g. in the example on the left, to all orientations and a wide range of frequencies). • The output signal should vary slowly, i.e. minimize  $\langle \dot{y}_i^2 \rangle$ . • The output signal should carry much information, i.e.  $\langle y_i \rangle = 0$ ,  $\langle y_i^2 \rangle = 1$ , and  $\langle y_i | y_j \rangle = 0 \quad \forall j' < j.$ This optimization problem can be solved with **slow feature analysis (SFA)** [5], an unsu-pervised algorithm based on an eigenvector approach. 3 Input data Our data source consisted of 36 gray-valued natural images. We constructed image seal inhibition quences by moving a window over the images by translation, rotation, and zoom and subsequently rescaling the frames to a standard size of  $16 \times 16$  pixels. To include temporal 111 information, the input vectors to SFA were formed by the pixel intensities of two consecutive frames at times t and t + dtv(x(t), x(t+dt)) 7 Conclusions References Pietro Berkes and Laurenz Wiskott. Slow feature analysis yields a rich repertoire of complex-cell proj Sciences EPrint Archive (CogPrint) 2804, http://cogprints.ecs.soton.ac.uk/archive/00002804/, 2003. We have shown that slowness leads to a great variety of complex cell properties found also in physiological nents, namely edge detection, phase-shift invariance, active inhibition, non-orthogonal inhibition tion, direction selectivity, end-inhibition and side-inhibition. Our results demonstrate that such a rich [2] R.L. De Valois, E.W. Yund, and N. Hepler. The orientation and direction selectivity of cells in macaque visual cortex repertoire of receptive field properties can be accounted for by a single unsupervised learning principle n Res. 22(5):531-44, 1982 [3] Martin S. Gizzi, Ephraim Katz, Robert A. Schumer, and J. Anthony Movshon. Selectivity for orientation and direction of motion of single neurons in cat striate and extrastriate visual cortex. Journal of Neurophysiology, 63(6):1529-1543, June 1990. [4] P.H. Schiller, B.L. Finlay, and S.F. Volman. Quantitative studies of single-cell properties in monkey striate cortex. II. Orientation specificity and ocular dominance. J. Neurophysiol., 39(6):1320–1333, 1976. Additional material, papers and other informations are available at

[5] Laurenz Wiskott and Terrence Sejnowski. Slow feature analysis: Unsupervised learning of invariances. Neural Com putation, 14(4):715–770, 2002.